

## REMARKS

This paper is in response to the official action of June 3, 2005, wherein claim 1 [sic - claim 8] was rejected as anticipated by Joo U.S. 5,879,957, and claims 9 and 10 were rejected as obvious over Joo in combination with Hong et al. U.S. 6,451,666. Reconsideration is requested.

By the foregoing, the cross-reference to the parent application has been updated, and claim 8 has been amended to recite that the metal pattern is made by a single material. Support is found throughout the specification. New claim 11 recites that the platinum seed layer covers side walls in the bottom surface of the metal padding.

It is submitted that the Joo patent does not anticipate claim 8, as it does not show each and every element recited in the claim. Neither Joo nor Hong teaches or discloses a metal pattern made by a single material and a layer comprising platinum covering an upper surface and sidewalls of the metal pattern.

The Hong et al. patent is not available as a reference, as the respective inventions of the present application and Hong et al. were owed by the same person or subject to an obligation to assign to the same person at the time the respective inventions were made. See 35 U.S.C. § 103(c).

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims 8-10 are in condition for allowance, and such action is solicited.


Should the examiner wish to discuss the foregoing or any matter of form in an effort to advance this application toward allowance, he is urged to telephone the undersigned at the indicated number.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP

September 1, 2005

6300 Sears Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357  
(312) 474-6300

By:   
James P. Zeller  
Reg. No. 28,491  
Attorneys for Applicant